# Metallic Phases of Cobalt Based Catalysts in Ethanol Steam Reforming: The Effect of Cerium Oxide

Sean S.-Y. Lin<sup>1</sup>, Do Heui Kim<sup>2</sup>, and Su Y. Ha<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Washington State University, P.O. Box 642710, Pullman. WA 99164-2710 (USA)

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Interfacial Catalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, PO Box 999, Richland, WA 99354 (USA)

\*suha@wsu.edu

### Introduction

The steam reforming of ethanol has been the focus of recent study as one of many promising technologies to supply portable hydrogen fuel. However, there is no commercial catalyst available to date to extract hydrogen from ethanol. Supported cobalt has been reported to possess noble-metal like activity for the C-C bond cleavage around 400°C to produce hydrogen from ethanol steam reforming. Metallic cobalt is believed to be the main active species in various supported cobalt catalysts [1]; but the enhancement of the catalytic activity due to the catalysts-support interactions is still elusive. In order to gain insight into cobalt-support interactions for designing a better supported catalyst system, questions regarding whether cobalt undergoes phase transformation during ethanol steam reforming and which phase is more active if phase transforms, need to be answered.

In this work, we have examined the phase transformation of cobalt and the corresponding catalytic activity in the steam reforming of ethanol. As evidenced through our *in situ* studies by XRD and DRIFTS, two metallic phases exist in cobalt under the reforming conditions and possess different activity for hydrogen production. The promoting effect of CeO<sub>2</sub> was found to hinder the phase transformation in hcp cobalt. More, the addition of CeO<sub>2</sub> to cobalt was shown to prevent the sintering of cobalt nanoparticles and increase hydrogen selectivity. This effect significantly increases the catalytic activity as compared with the unpromoted cobalt.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A nanocrystalline cobalt (II, III) oxide ( $Co_3O_4$  <50 nm, Sigma-Aldrich) was used to study the phase transformation of cobalt and its corresponding catalytic activity through various hydrogen pre-reductions. For a comparative study, a cerium nitrate precursor,  $Ce(NO_3)_3$ - $6H_2O$  (Alfa Aesar), was impregnated to  $Co_3O_4$  followed by calcination in air at 500°C for 4 h to attain 10 wt% cerium promoted  $Co_3O_4$  denoted as 10%  $Ce-Co_3O_4$ . The catalysts are characterized by BET, TPR, and TEM. A quartz packed-bed reactor coupled with GC was used for the catalyst testing. The *in situ* studies of the phase transformation and the surface chemistry on cobalt were carried out by XRD and DRIFTS, respectively.

## **Results and Discussion**

The phase transformation of metallic cobalt from hexagonal to cubic phase in either hydrogen or reforming environments was confirmed by *in situ* XRD study (Figure 1). At reaction temperature of 350°C, hcp cobalt was stable and found to possess higher activity than fcc cobalt. This result was confirmed by the hydrogen production rate measured from reforming experiments, as well as by the surface chemistry from *in situ* DRIFTS study (Figure

2). To our best knowledge, it was discovered for the first time that the catalytic activity of cobalt toward hydrogen production from ethanol steam reforming is influenced by the crystalline structure. However, at typical reaction temperatures (400-500°C) of ethanol steam reforming, inexorable phase transformation of metallic cobalt from hcp to less active fcc phase will proceed as reforming temperature approaching to the onset temperature of its phase transformation at 420°C. We were able to stabilize the hcp cobalt phase at the reforming temperature up to 600°C by promoting cobalt catalysts with CeO<sub>2</sub>. In addition to stabilizing the active cobalt phase at high reforming temperatures, CeO<sub>2</sub> prevents the sintering of cobalt nanoparticles in the pre-reduction process of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, as evidenced by their BET surface area (Table 1) and the TEM images. The cerium promoted cobalt catalyst also achieves a much lower CO selectivity and a higher  $H_2$  yield as compared to that of the unpromoted hcp cobalt.

Table 1. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area of  $Co_3O_4$  and 10% Ce- $Co_3O_4$  catalysts pre-reduced at different temperatures under hydrogen

	•	Catalwat	Cotolyst BET surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)										
		Catalyst	Prior to reduction		350°	C ·	450°C	600	600°C				
		Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>		40.3	2.	6	1.8	1	.3				
Relative intensity (%)	_	10% Ce-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>		28.2	26.	7	23.2	15	5.2				
	100 80 60 40 20 0	O (fice 100) H₂  A Co (fice 200) H₂  Co (fice 200) He/EtO  Co (fice 200) He/EtO	H/H <sub>2</sub> O 500	550	9 E Abs ratio (2358 cm <sup>-1</sup> / 1065 cm <sup>-1</sup> )	30 0	X	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	30	× × × × 40	- Co (hcc - Co (fcc - 10% C	:)	70
Temperature (°C)						Time (min)							

**Figure 1.** Peak evolutions of *in situ* XRD spectra corresponding to hcp Co and fcc Co under H<sub>2</sub> or ethanol/H<sub>2</sub>O/He as a function of temperature.

**Figure 2.** Ratio of IR absorbance (CO<sub>2</sub>/EtOH; 2360/1065 cm<sup>-1</sup>) as a function of time on Co catalysts under ethanol/H<sub>2</sub>O/He at 350°C.

### Significance

In this work the phase transformation in metallic cobalt during ethanol steam reforming was confirmed to influence the catalytic activity. A cerium promoted cobalt catalyst was found superior due to its ability to stabilize active crystalline phase and resist sintering.

#### Reference

1. Harvanto, A., Fernando, S., Murali, N., and Adhikari, S. Energ Fuel 19, 2098 (2005).