# Hydrodeoxygenation of 4-Methylphenol over Mo Catalysts

Victoria M. L. Whiffen and Kevin J. Smith\*

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of British Columbia 2360 East Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z3 (Canada) vwhiffen@chml.ubc.ca and \*kjs@interchange.ubc.ca

# Introduction

Bio-oils derived from the pyrolysis of bio-mass contain high amounts of oxygen (>10 wt.%) that contribute to the low heating value, high viscosity, and instability of these oils [1]. Removal of the oxygen by hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of bio-oils has been mostly reported over Co/Ni promoted MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts [2]. Furimsky et al. investigated the HDO of substituted phenols using oxidic and sulfided CoMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Overall, the conversion over the two catalysts was similar [3]. Matsuda et al. have shown that bulk MoO<sub>3</sub> with a surface area of 5 m²/g can be transformed into a microporous MoO<sub>x</sub> catalyst with an increased surface area of 180 m²/g after reduction in H<sub>2</sub> at 623 K [4]. Bunch and Ozkan concluded that reduced MoO<sub>x</sub> catalysts carry out the same hydrogenolysis function as sulfided catalysts for the HDO of benzofuran [2]. The objective of this study is to further examine the effect of the oxidation state and ligand of Mo catalysts on the HDO of 4-methylphenol.

### Materials and Methods

Reactions were carried out in a 300 mL stirred-batch reactor at 598-648 K and 4.14-4.83 MPa  $\rm H_2$ . 4-Methylphenol (4MP) was used as a model reactant at 2.96 wt% in 100 mL decalin. The reactant solution was slurried in the reactor with 11,000 ppm Mo catalyst. MoS<sub>2</sub>, MoO<sub>2</sub>, and MoO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich) were tested without pretreatment. The catalysts were characterized by means of XRD, TPR, and BET analysis. Reaction products were identified and quantified via GC/MS analysis. The experimental data were used to examine the reaction pathway and kinetics of the reaction.

### **Results and Discussion**

Toluene was the main reaction product over  $MoO_2$ ,  $MoS_2$ , and  $MoO_3$  catalysts. Hydrogenation products methylcyclohexane, 1-methylcyclohexene, and 4-methylcyclohexene were also produced. Small amounts of isomerization products, such as ethylidenecyclopentane, ethylcyclopentane, 1,3-dimethylcyclopentane, and 4,4-dimethylcyclopentene were detected. Table 1 displays the selectivity of the quantified products from the HDO reaction at 598 K and 4.14 MPa. The product distribution for the HDO of 4MP over  $MoO_3$  was found to be a strong function of temperature. As the temperature increased the degree of hydrogenation and cracking increased. For example, at 648 K the formation of new products such as dimethylbenzene and hexane occurred. After 60 minutes the selectivity to methylcyclohexane over  $MoO_3$  was 7.20% at 598 K increasing to 15.4% at 648 K. However, temperature did not affect the degree of hydrogenolysis over the  $MoO_3$  catalyst since all products were oxygen free. Hydrogenolysis was found to be the primary reaction followed by the hydrogenation of toluene to saturated products.

MoO<sub>3</sub> was found to be more active than MoO<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub> for the HDO of 4MP (Table 1).

 $MoO_3$  exhibited the lowest surface area and highest activation energy (118 kJ/mol) for HDO, compared to 109 kJ/mol on  $MoO_2$ 

## Significance

 $MoO_2$  and  $MoS_2$ , with molybdenum oxidation states of (IV), had similar surface areas, rate constants and selectivities for the HDO of 4MP. Both catalysts had the same degree of hydrogenolysis, but differed in hydrogenation selectivity - with  $MoS_2$  having a higher selectivity for complete hydrogenation to m-cyclohexane. The Mo ligand had a minor effect on the activity of the HDO reaction, and a small effect on product selectivity. Overall, the oxidation state of the Mo played the most significant role in determining the activity and degree of hydrogenolysis.

The surface areas reported in Table 1 are those of the fresh catalysts. The surface area of  $MoO_3$  increased from  $0.3~m^2/g$  to  $3.5~m^2/g$  after reaction at 598~K in  $H_2$ . At the reaction conditions full reduction of  $MoO_3$  to  $MoO_2$  or zero valent metal does not occur. During TPR, less than 1% reduction of  $MoO_3$  occurred at 598~K but the catalyst's color changed from light green to dark blue (TPR), indicative of  $MoO_3$  or indicative of  $MoO_3$  are form of  $Mo_3O_3$  and  $Mo_3O_3$  are the reaction conditions. Thus, it is suggested that this reduced exterior phase dispersed on  $MoO_3$  performs the removal of oxygen from 4MP with high selectivity. The higher oxidation state (Mo(V) versus Mo(IV)) increased HDO activity, likely because Mo(V) is a strong electron acceptor for the oxygen of 4MP. Overall, both the Mo oxidation state and catalyst surface area play a role in the activity of the catalyst.

The data presented herein will also be compared to MoP catalysts, which have been shown to be active for HDO processes [6].

1 able 1. 4 MF 11DO at 538 K and 4.14 MF a 11 <sub>2</sub> .						
Cat.	Surface	$k_{\rm 4MP}$	After approximately 4 h.			
	Area (m²/g)	(mL.s <sup>-1</sup> .mol <sub>Mo</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	X <sub>4MP</sub> (%)	Toluene (%)	m-Cyclo- hexane (%)	4-m-Cyclo- hexene (%)
$MoS_2$	4.2	0.292	26.4	71.1	10.3	5.7
$MoO_2$	5.3	0.294	24.7	68.5	3.8	10.1
MoO <sub>3</sub>	0.3	1.26	70.7	59.0	14.4	6.6

Table 1. 4MP HDO at 598 K and 4.14 MPa H

#### References

- Furimsky, E. Appl. Catal. A. 199, 147 (2000).
- 2. Bunch, A., and Ozkan, U. J. Catal. A. 206, 177 (2002).
- Furimsky, E., Mikhlin, J., Jones, D., Adley, T., and Baikowitz, H. Can. J. Chem. Eng. 64, 982 (1986).
- Matsuda, T., Hirata, Y., Uchijima, F., Itoh, H., and Takahashi, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 73, 1029 (2000).
- 5. Cotton, F., and Wilkinson, G. in "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry (A Comprehensive Text)" Edition 3, p. 947. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1972.
- 6. Clark, P., Wei, L., and Oyama, T. J. Catal. 200, 140 (2001).